Planning and Budgeting Council (PBC) Meeting May 3, 2011 1:30pm -3:30pm AB5 309

Summary and Post-Meeting Updates through May 6, 2011 and October 2011

Present: Toll, Felton, Fitch, Genson, Roberts, Mamarchev, Snyder, Johnson, Kavanagh, Banks for Jaeger, Shepard, Greene, Lindsey, Rodrigues, Rollo, Brunner, St. Hill, Crawford,

Absent: McShane, Magiera, Myers, Graziani

Guests: Ruth Rodrigues, Sue Snauwaert, Tamera Baughman

Report of the Council Chair:

Ron discussed the presentation he and President Bradshaw made the previous Friday concerning the positioning and alignment of units within Academic Affairs. He referred the PBC to the Provost’s website where links to documents associated with the presentation may be accessed.

Space Definition Plan for Use in Reserving Various Types of Classrooms, Labs, and Conference Room:

Campus Space Committee chair Ruth Rodrigues was joined by committee members Sue Snauwaert and Tamera Baughman to present a space definition plan for the PBC’s consideration which was being recommended by the SFC. Ruth reviewed the purpose and work of the committee. Hallie asked what academic representation had been involved. Sue said that Jennifer Baker had recently been added and Ruth added that faculty served on the SFC. Paul suggested that review by the Deans was necessary. He also stated that classification and reclassification of university space was critically important to SUS-BOG space allocations for future buildings that were also tied to enrollment projections. Ruth added that the X25 module to the R25 system was being acquired and would be implemented in the near future that would allow for greater analysis of impacts of space reclassifications or space being not available, etc. Ron said that Ruth should next discuss the proposed definitions with Deans Council.

Review of ERMC Report on Retention:

Marc Laviolette discussed the report of the ERMC that focused on an action plan to improve retention. Paul asked what items required additional resources for implementation. Marc focused on three: realignment of dual enrollment/early admissions and collegiate high school into a single unit (ACE); expanding the number of sections of SLS 1501 being offered; and an all-campus retention workshop. Marc made a motion to accept the ERMC report without attaching priorities or funding commitments. Hallie seconded the motion and the report was accepted in a unanimous voice vote.

Review of SPIEC Recommendations Re the Strategic Plan Update for 2011-2012:

Paul described the review process conducted by SPIEC that led to the draft updates provided. The PBC indicated minor edits which Paul said he would make. Paul indicated that this draft was produced before the positioning and alignment presentation made by the provost and the president last Friday. He said he would need to amend the plan to incorporate the proposed actions. Tom asked to see the changes
before voting to accept them. Paul made a motion to accept the SP updates with edits as proposed subject to any potential feedback from the Council prior to the next scheduled meeting. Hallie seconded the motion which was adopted unanimously.

**Review of Standing Committee Reports:**

**Budget**

Shawn Felton and Joe Shepard, Co-chairs, reported on Tallahassee budget updates. Joe said that if we get our tuition increase and meet our enrollment projections, the university should be able to absorb the GR cuts. PECO funding to complete AB 8 ($4.5M), fund the IHUB ($5M) and provide infrastructure money ($1.7M) were in both versions of the budget.

**ERMC**

See Marc Laviolette’s report above.

**ESC**

Lewis Johnson, Co-chair, reported the work of this committee was completed with some special reports being worked on this summer.

**IRC**

Dave Jaeger said they are working on final edits to their report. Paul noted there was a recommendation concerning career progression for IT staff that would require Cabinet level scrutiny. Ron acknowledged that.

**SFC**

Co-chair Barrett Genson provided an update on the progress of the campus master plan. He noted a public hearing occurred on April 15 attended by about 37 people. Biggest issue raised dealt with transportation around campus. Barrett added that SWFWMD had 90-days to respond and was still hoping to get the CMP to the September UBOT mtg.

**SPIEC**

See Paul Snyder’s report above.

**Next Steps:**

Ron noted that Paul would circulate the edited changes to the SP. A meeting on the 17th will only be used if a major issue needs to be addressed.

The following chronology of events occurred after the May 3 meeting:
• Paul circulated updated tables to the PBC on 5/4 that included minor edits approved by the PBC and the G3, 5.2 recs. He notes in the email unless there is major problem the PBC will not meet on May 17.

• Paul sends another update of the tables on 5/6 which incorporate recs from the IRC’s draft report.

• On May 6 Tom responds to Paul’s update (and the entire PBC) that he supports all the other recs but would not vote in favor of G3, 5.2. He says in his email, “I for one cannot in good conscience vote to approve any changes to the SP document that involve adding any of the positioning and alignment actions. I find the related actions to be arbitrary and take exception to a variety of matters surrounding them. As indicated by my affirmative vote during our meeting, I do remain in favor of moving the plan forward as initially presented with only the minor edits including the IRC recommendations Paul just presented us with. Thanks Paul, and a good weekend to all.”

• No one else offers any objection.

• On May 10, Pres. Cabinet meets and endorses recs of the PBC with minor edits.

• Scheduled May 17, 2011 meeting cancelled.

• On October 25, 2011 Tom offers further specifics with regard to his objections concerning G3, 5.2:

From Meeting Summary of October 25, 2011, Old Business:

“Ron stated that Tom Roberts requested to address the committee at this meeting. Tom presented his perception of the process for updating the strategic plan tables in May as being rushed and flawed without a formal vote on the final set of tables. Tom indicated that his specific objection remains with G3, 5.2 due to the direct connection to the response to the Positioning and Alignment Task Force report and the associated conclusions chart. He found the response and conclusions chart to be arbitrary, selective, unfair, and unequal. The response to the recommendations leaves the false impression that the response accepts conclusions that were drawn by the task force itself when that is clearly not the case. Tom also indicated that in general there was widespread skepticism and distrust related the entire positioning and alignment process. Tom made a motion to remove Item G3, 5.2 from the updated SP Tables due to the aforementioned. Megan seconded the motion. Paul responded by providing a chronology of events demonstrating that while a final vote was not taken, the PBC had seen all iterations of the tables and had had a chance to comment on them. A great deal of discussion ensued. Doug suggested that the summary of the May PBC meeting be amended to reflect the email exchanges that took place after the meeting from May 3 through May 6. Shawn made a motion to table Tom’s motion, which was seconded by Hallie so that PBC members could examine the records of the May 3 meeting and the subsequent emails more closely. This motion was passed with several abstentions. Paul said he would gather the electronic records and share them with the Council in anticipation of the next meeting.”