Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee

Summary: February 13, 2013 Meeting

Edwards -210

2pm-3pm

Present: Felke, Duff, Snyder, Mayo, Banks for Jaeger, Gischel, Volety
Absent: Harrison, Kakkuri, Magiera, Catalfamo, McDonald, Rogers, Pelliccioni

1. Co-Chair’s Report

Paul mentioned the work of the BOG space needs survey team that would be visiting FGCU at the end of the month and how that work related to the work of ERMC, the SFC, and the Budget committee of the PBC. He also mentioned that the Budget Committee had presented to the PBC allocation of resource priorities in the event that funds cut last year by the Legislature were restored for the coming year. He added that he had requested these be aligned with SP goals. The Budget Committee said it would reconsider its priorities and align them. Paul said this would result in a delay in launching the SharePoint for Annual reports which was being migrated from InfoPath to improve the reporting system. He said this would delay the solicitation of action plans and goals for the coming year by probably 2-3 weeks.

2. Marc Laviolette

Paul introduced Marc who is co-chair of the ERMC and asked him to update progress on goal 3, Strategic Growth, strategy 3, action 3.1 and strategy 4.1 and 4.2. Marc said the committee will deliver a report to the PBC on March 1 addressing strategy 3 action 3.1 following an analysis of average section size, classroom capacity, and projected enrollment growth. Part of this analysis includes optimization of the course schedule to accommodate classroom/lab capacity, average section size, and enrollment growth. They are also looking at what majors are likely to be affected most by the projected enrollment growth.
With regard to strategy 4, the Banner Relationship Management system has been successfully implemented and as a result, admissions is now able to target very specific populations of prospective students such as high-achieving, those interested in specific majors, transfer students, etc. Marc reported that implementation of improvements to processing speed of admissions materials has been impeded by staff turnover and delays in the implementation of EDI. Mary banks said they were working on the EDI problem and hoped to have that solved by June.

Paul noted that the prioritization of resources being conducted by the Budget Committee had included funds for enrollment management that could help admissions with its staff turnover problem. He thanked Marc for his presentation to the committee.

3. Policy Review Update

Paul reported that the revisions approved by SPIEC at its previous meeting that would take three assessment-related policies (2.004, 2.005 and 2.009) and consolidate them into one had been unanimously approved by the PBC at its meeting last week and would now go to the Cabinet for discussion before going to the Counsel office’s policy review process. Paul noted that this was a good example of shared governance since the Faculty Senate had made significant contributions to the policy revisions.

4. University Committee Study

Paul recalled for the group the work of Tom, Carolynne and Sal to develop a questionnaire for getting information on committees. He noted that about 45 responses had been compiled and acknowledged the work of Jacquie Toth in getting necessary responses. He said he would now share the list of responses with Faculty Senate and SAC for additional vetting to ensure no committee had been overlooked before bringing it back to SPIEC for further discussion.
5. **Next steps**

Paul said the next meeting of the committee would occur on February 27 in Edwards 309. There being no old or new business the meeting was adjourned.