Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee (SPIEC) Meeting

April 8, 2010 3pm - 4pm AB7 402

Summary

Present: Snyder, Duff, Belcher, Henry, Wright-Isak, St. Hill, McBride, Baurer
Absent: Johnson, Lindsey, Genson, Shepard, Pegnetter, McClelland,

Update of the Strategic Planning Process

Paul recapped the last meeting for those unable to attend. He noted that he had made edits to the action plan summary document according to comments submitted to him by committee members. He added that he would now share the document with the PBC for its review at its meeting scheduled for April 9.

Review of Committee Reports/Plans

Paul noted that he had sent the committee two reports that had been submitted: ERMC and the IRC. He added that co-chairs from each of the committees were in attendance, Duncan from the IRC and Donna from the ERMC. He asked that each of them provide an overview of their reports. Donna pointed out the major findings and recommendations of the ERMC discussed in the executive summary of the report. She also drew the group’s attention to the five-year enrollment projections by College. She stated these had been based on a straight-line 9.5% annual increase at each level within each College. Paul noted that the projection for Grad II students in COE had been omitted. Donna said she had been in touch with Mile Savarese to correct this. Paul said he had spoken with Marci. Donna added that the various appendices to the report would serve as working documents for the committee’s work next year. Paul said the recommendations in the executive summary were most adaptable for inclusion in the strategic plan document itself and that he had already drafted some language to this effect.

Next Duncan gave a review of the IRC report, which Paul said was very comprehensive. He distributed the attached document for the committee’s review. He noted that the document had reduced through aggregation over 120 action plans into a more modest set of 42 action plans. He added that these plans included measures for assessment, but still required additional work. He suggested that the Committee review the draft over the next week and provide feedback on edits so that the document can be forwarded to the PBC for it to begin its review.

Dean Pegnetter reiterated his observation that the connection to economic development did not seem to be addressed in the action plans submitted. Paul agreed with that observation and said he had received nothing suggesting ties to iHUB, Algenol, or Jackson Labs. Dean Henry also acknowledged these omissions. Paul suggested two means to address this: 1) a discussion on this topic by the Council of Deans and 2) SPIEC make a recommendation to the PBC for it to consider adding action plans for economic development to the strategic plan. Goals 1, 6, and 7 were considered good places to add these plans.

Next steps
Paul said that he would circulate the current draft of the action plans to the committee and invite feedback no later than next Tuesday (4/6) so that SPIEC’s recommendations could be forwarded in time for consideration at the PBC meeting on April 9. SPIEC would begin its review of PBC committee reports/plans at its next meeting scheduled April 8. Paul mentioned that he had EMRC’s report already in hand. **Paul noted the meeting on April 8 will occur from 3-4pm in AB7-402.**