NCAA DIVISION I ATHLETIC CERTIFICATION
MONTHLY CONFERENCE CALL
FEBRUARY 22, 2010
ACADEMIC BUILDING 5 – ROOM #309

Present:
Ms. Danielle Teetzel, NCAA (via conference call)
Dr. Peg Gray-Vickrey, Chairperson
Mr. Kenneth Kavanagh, Director of Athletics (via conference call until 1:25PM then attended in person)
Ms. Kathy Peterson, Senior Woman Administrator/Athletics Certification Liaison
Ms. Susan Byars, Academic Integrity Subcommittee Chair
Mr. Jorge Lopez, Gender/Diversity and Student Athlete Well Being Subcommittee Chair
Dr. Donna Price Henry, Governance and Commitment to Rules Compliance Subcommittee Chair
Ms. Colleen Sorem, Athletics Administration Director
Dr. Cathy Duff, Chief Report Writer
Ms. Marianne Rosenhauer, Assistant to the Chief Report Writer
Ms. Jessica Rouse, Director of Compliance
Ms. Veronica Forsyth, Administrative Staff for Steering Committee

Meeting Convened at 1:05PM

I. Welcome and Gathering

Dr. Gray-Vickrey stated that everyone was in attendance and that Mr. Kavanagh would participate via conference call.

II. Remarks – Ms. Teetzel

Ms. Teetzel had no remarks.

III. Steering Committee – Dr. Gray-Vickrey

Dr. Gray-Vickrey reported that the Committee is making good progress and are currently working on some of the first and second drafts. She stated that at this time most of our questions are for clarification or double checking purposes.

IV. Academic Integrity Subcommittee – Ms. Byars, Chair

Ms. Byars submitted (a) and (b) and Mr. Kavanagh (c).

    a. Clarification. Operating Principle 2.1, Question 13. How detailed a response is expected for this question based on the fact that FGCU has one year of data?”
Ms. Teetzel stated that the University should state
- there are no deficiencies
- the graduation rate for student-athletes is 58%
- the graduation rate for students is 36%

There should also be a narrative to accompany the data. The more details the better.

Ms. Teetzel stated that she would also clarify question 14. She stated that this question requires both a very detailed analysis of the data and a detailed explanation. She recommended using Appendix A, page 59, as a guide on what to analyze and compare.

b. Clarification. Operating Principle 2.1, Question 20. Questions regarding the level of analysis for this question.

Ms. Sorem stated that a Plan for Improvement had been implemented in August 2009. She questioned whether more information was required in responding to this question. Ms. Teetzel stated that she would recommend
- provide the most specific data that we have
- analyze the data
- include the Plan for Improvement

c. Clarification. Operating Principle 2.1, Question 6. Should non-athletic aid student-athletes be included in the mix in comparison to all undergrad students (i.e., in regards to pct. of special admits)?

Ms. Teetzel stated that all first year athletic-students on any athletic aid should be included in the comparison. The comparison should show that student-athletes are treated no differently than any other students. Ms. Teetzel stated that all first-time in college should be included not first time at Florida Gulf Coast University. She also stated that the percentage in Column #1 should be higher than the percentage in Column #2.

V. Gender/Diversity and Student Athlete Well-Being Sub Committee – Mr. Lopez, Chair

Mr. Lopez had no agenda items.

VI. Governance and Commitment to Rules Compliance Subcommittee – Dr. Henry, Chair

Dr. Gray-Vickrey submitted the following question:


Ms. Teetzel stated that the NCAA does not define major decisions. She stated that she has seen all of the above – addition of sports, association and reclassification… - and would only recommend adding renovations and new facilities to the list.
VII. Introduction

Ms. Petersen asked what the NCAA definition of FTE was. Ms. Teetzel stated that the NCAA does not have a definition. Dr. Gray-Vickrey stated that the FTE definition that FGCU uses is mandated by the State University System (SUS). The SUS requires 42 hours rather than the usual 30 hours. The state uses this information for funding purposes. This information can be found in the BOTIS (Board of Trustees Information System Report).

Dr. Gray-Vickrey stated that faculty FTE was semester-by-semester.

Ms. Teetzel said to use the information that we have and that FTE will not hold up certification.

VIII. Chief Report Writer Questions – Dr. Duff and Ms. Rosenhauer

Ms. Rosenhauer stated that in Operating Principle 2.1, Question 13, there were charts from ACS as well as disclosure forms. She stated that there are now charts covering six (6) years where previously there had only been charts for one (1) year. Ms. Teetzel stated that when the data is available it is populated. She also stated that the disclosure forms should be considered as background information.

Ms. Rosenhauer had the following agenda items for clarification:

b. Operating Principle 2.1, Question 15. Do we need to report on this chart for question number 15?

Ms. Teetzel stated that the chart had been repopulated for this question since the data was available. She noted that the data does not have to be analyzed.

c. Operating Principle 2.1, Questions 16 and 17. Will more charts be populated between now and April 30th? There is still no data for Operating Principle 2.1, questions 16 and 17.

Ms. Teetzel stated that there is no data to analyze.

Ms. Teetzel said that she would like to set a meeting with Dr. Duff and Ms. Rosenhauer within the next month. This meeting would be for approximately one hour. She will ask Ms. Albright to contact Dr. Duff regarding a date and time.

IX. General Questions or Comments

There were no questions from Committee members.

Ms. Teetzel stated that we are getting close to the April 30th date. She stated that the Committee was doing a fabulous job and that she felt the conference calls were working well.

X. Meeting adjourned at 1:35PM.