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Abstract
In this case report, the athlete reported to the athletic training room complaining of low back pain and stiffness in the lumbosacral region with no specific mechanism of injury. The patient was seen by a physician for imaging which ruled out fracture but did find sacroiliac joint inflammation (sacroilitis) and lumbar disc pathology. The athlete was admitted to a physical therapy spine specialist group for conservative treatment and rehabilitation in addition to treatments in the athletic training facility.

Differential Diagnosis
- Low back strain
- Spondylolis, Spondylolysis
- Lumbar disc pathology
- SI Joint pathology
- Stress fracture

Clinical Presentation
The athlete began experiencing low back pain that, while manageable initially, progressed to the point of being unable to practice and perform the tasks required during a basketball practice such as running, jumping, cutting, squatting and lifting, due to pain and disability. The athlete described low back stiffness especially in the morning and after bouts of activity and at the end of the day. The athlete was limited in lumbar flexion and extension, hip flexion and extension due to pain. The athlete was referred to the physician for imaging, which indicated a disc pathology and sacroilitis mainly on the right side; X-Ray negative for fracture. The athlete was then referred to a physical therapy group specializing in the spine, and was also found to have a leg length discrepancy of approximately 5 cm.

Treatment
The athlete was rested from activity but experienced little relief. The athlete began conservative treatment guided by the physician, physical therapist and athletic trainer. This included ice, NSAID’s, a heel lift and SI belt, manual therapy and light low extremity stretching. The athlete progressed to basic lumbar ROM exercises and eventually light strengthening, but continued to experience some pain.

DAILY TREATMENTS INCLUDING HEATING THE LOWER BACK WITH A HOT PACK FOR 10 MINUTES AND THEN BIARTERIAL LIGHT STATIC LOWER EXTREMITY STRETCHING. THE ATHLETE ALSO COMPLETED EXERCISES SUCH AS ADDUCTOR BALL SQUEEZES (X2X2) IN THE ATHLETIC TRAINING ROOM, PLUS OTHER BASIC EXERCISES AND MANUAL THERAPY AT PHYSICAL THERAPY. AT THE END OF EACH SESSION THE ATHLETE RECEIVED IFC E-STEM TREATMENTS AND APPLICATION OF ICE FOR 20 MINUTES. AFTER LINGERING PAIN AND INABILITY TO PRACTICE despite the conservative rehabilitation, the athlete received a cortisone injection in the SI joints as per physician’s orders, but with little relief.

The treatment process in the athletic training facility came to a close when the athlete made the decision to stop playing basketball. The patient still attended physical therapy for treatment.

Uniqueness
General low back pain is relatively common among college athletes, but most cases are less severe and are able to be managed effectively with modality treatments, stretching and rehabilitation exercises including core stability exercises. Many cases of non-traumatic low back pain in athletes are muscular in nature rather than joint and disc-related. It is less common for low back pain in collegiate athletes to be derived from leg length discrepancy, sacroilitis/SI joint dysfunction, and disc pathology. The hereditary nature of the athlete’s condition also adds uniqueness to the case.

Conclusion
While low back pain is relatively common in athletes and the general population, non-traumatic disc pathology, leg length discrepancy and sacroilitis are a few of the less common causes. This case demonstrates how complicated cases of low back pain can be, and the need for individualized intervention based on each case, plus better risk factor screening in pre-participation physical examinations.

Also, the biomechanics of the lower extremities, lumbo-pelvic-hip complex and spine must be evaluated and considered in each case, because no two athletes have the same gait or posture patterns. Leg length discrepancy can greatly influence biomechanics and function, and has been found that as small as a centimeter discrepancy can increase SI joint loads by as much as five times.

Conservative treatment and management of low back pain is often successful, but can take much more time than other areas of the body due to chronic postural compensations, and the relationship of the lumbo-pelvic-hip complex to the biomechanics of the rest of the body.
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